Attn: Industry Canada

1. Municipalities need to be able to have final say on where and if cell towers/antennas will be placed in their own communities.

2. Any additional cell antenna or increases in power from cell towers/antenna need to be open to public notification and consultation. Again, municipalities need to have final say about any additions or power increases.

3. Cell panels on top of buildings need to be opened up to public notification and consultation, as these are the only sites not required to do so at present. As well, cell panels on top of buildings should require 100% approval of any occupants living within these buildings (this is now required by Germany for condo buildings).

4. If any persons living near a cell tower/antenna are reported to have become ill from exposure to that tower/antenna, that cell tower/antenna must be turned off immediately.

5. Since animals cannot thermally regulate themselves in the same way people can (this was sited by James McNamee of Health Canada in his answer to question 138 during the ongoing Quebec cell tower court case, White v. Ville de Chateauguay), and Safety Code 6 does not protect wildlife; then any Provincial or National Parks, Conservations areas and areas of ecological significance need to be protected from any exposures to microwave emissions. Concern for harm to wildlife by microwave radiation exposure has been raised in the United States last month by their Department of the Interior. This important submission can be seen on the NTIA website at the below link: \( \text{http://www.ntia.doc.gov/files/ntia/us_doi_comments.pdf} \) (The NTIA is the Executive Branch agency that is principally responsible for advising the President on telecommunications and information policy issues.)

Devra Davis and Cells.....\( \text{http://ehtrust.org/letter-to-senator/rosalyn-baker-concerning-cell-phone-radiation-bill/} \)

Ellen Gordon