Re: Antenna Siting Procedures (CPC-2-0-03)

Concerning Canada Gazette notice, Part I, publication date March 1, 2014, entitled *Consultation on Amendments to Industry Canada’s Antenna Tower Siting Procedures*, reference number DGSO-001-14.

My comments are as follows:

1) Request the inclusion of non-tower structures (building-mount, roof-top, hydro-pole, utility pole, etc...) installations be included in the notification and consultation process.

   Canadians deserve to know where cell phone antennas are proposed. This is especially true of antennae mounted on hydro poles. I notice that this is specifically excluded from your update to CPC-2-0-03.

2) Request that changes and or modifications to existing towers/antennas in place that would raise the RF output of the structure by more than 25%, be included in the notification and consultation process.

3) Request that the distance around a tower that requires notification as per section 5.2 (Public Consultation Process) be increased from 3 times the tower height, to 10 times.

4) Municipalities should have the final say on where cell towers are located. Municipalities have much greater local knowledge and responsibilities and are in the ideal situation to determine where a cell tower should be located.

I recognize that it is the mandate of Health Canada to protect vulnerable populations from environmental pollution. Nonetheless, the actions of Industry Canada in this regard could have great impact. Should more antennae in closer proximity be the unintended consequence of the new regulation, the resulting heavy toll to public health could well outweigh the benefits we recognize in this update to CPC-2-0-03.
Yours Sincerely,

Deborah McCutcheon