Dear Minister Moore and Director, Spectrum Management,

As a Canadian, I am glad we have such opportunities to comment.

As a human being, I never cease to be astounded when there seems such little human engagement at the receiving end of my communications. You are a transceiver and I am a transceiver; we depend on each other to both give and receive. I’m not so much feeling your human give, only your radiofrequency give.

I suffer due to natural human electrosensitivity. Electrosensitivity is a physical biological trait that you and everyone in your family—past, present, and future—are born with.

Radiofrequency siting guideline changes and various "suggestions" made by Industry Canada and industry and other non-citizen stakeholders over the past few years are quite toothless. The so-called "template" was touted as being such a remarkable thing—funny how the City of Toronto paid attention and chose not to adopt it, funny how there was a lack of mention in government press releases that municipalities would actually have to change their protocols accordingly if they wanted to use the template's "suggestions," funny that the "suggestions" included that if following the "template" a municipality could also simply disregard on a case-by-case (or other) basis and at any time and with or without reason ignore anything that the municipality would add as adopting the "template" suggestions into a municipal siting protocol. The black-and-white of the matter is quite clear—we all know that, contrary to what we are told, there is a very large number of individuals, neighbourhoods, and communities who want no further installations of radiofrequency-emitting equipment, not regulations pussyfooting around myriad parameters of who/what/when/where/how/why: no new installations and no add-ons at existing installations.

If the problem is that it is so difficult to amend something that already exists, such as CPC-2-0-03, then I would like to know the who/what/when/where/how we repeal it altogether and go back to the drawingboard—not years from now, now.
Local needs are people. People are individuals. You are responsible to the individuals in Canada. We speak, we ask for a conversation; you speak, you send us form letters, and make it clear that conversation is not welcome. Oh, but we're welcome to comment. And you'll listen to the comments. As I mentioned above: be a human, be a transceiver.

I request that the word "consultation" in regard to all matters related to CPC-2-0-03 be changed to something that is active not passive. Transceiver; receiving via eyes and ears is not dull diligence.

And as I have requested before, I wish to have a conversation with a member of your staff as a human conversation, with both parties interested in learning and helping. And I would like to know why it seems that, in effect, it is illegal or unethical or unsavoury for me to request that.

Kindly do not refer me to my MP; his office does not engage in conversations even before they know the topic.

Sincerely,

Barbara Payne