“ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION WILL GO DOWN IN HISTORY AS THE BIGGEST MISTAKE IN THE NAME OF PROGRESS”

Camilla Rees, MBA, founder of electromagnetichealth.org

With that in mind, I must insist that municipalities etc. have a say in where to place cell phone towers. The decision where to place cell phone towers should never be left again up to those who rely on outdated data and industry-friendly science. While for many the question how dangerous wireless radiation really is, remains still a debate, when the facts and science from those who are not financial powerhouse like the telecommunication industry, clearly point to dangerous effects. We may not even be aware yet of the next cancer tsunami caused by wireless radiation.

Municipalities are there to act in the best interest of the population and they should decide based on their own research and input of local population where to allow cell phone towers. We cannot leave these decisions that make a difference in whether people are healthy and thrive in their communities, to those who do not live there, rather make their financial gains in the telecommunication industry.

This is too important to all of us! We also have an obligation as communities to protect our vulnerable children who are powerless in defending their own health in this society. It is time to stand up and do the right thing, than to be sorry later.

The World Health Organization is recognizing high EMF’s are leading to a cancer epidemic. Because of this we request the inclusion of non-tower structures (building-mount, roof-top, hydro-pole, utility pole, etc...) installations be included in the notification and consultation process.

We request that changes and or modifications to existing towers/antennas in place that would raise the RF output of the structure by more than 25%, be included in the notification and consultation process.

We request that the distance around a tower that requires notification as per section 5.2 (Public Consultation Process) be increased from 3 times the tower height, to 10 times.

Our prime concern is public health. We recognize that it is the mandate of Health Canada to protect vulnerable populations from environmental pollution. Nonetheless, the actions of Industry Canada in this regard could have great impact. Should more antennae in closer proximity be the unintended consequence of the new regulation, the resulting heavy toll to public health could well outweigh the benefits we recognize in this update to CPC-2-0-03.

Darcelle Runciman
Concerned citizen.